





1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 BRAYAN YOEL MELGAR INESTROZA,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FCS-19-354181

)  
) Hearing Date: April 2, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 404

)  
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 16 1) This matter is on for review of parenting time and communication between the parents.  
17 2) Neither side filed updated declarations.  
18 3) The Court finds Mother's request for order is adjudicated and judicial intervention is no longer  
19 necessary and takes the matter off calendar.  
20 4) The Court will prepare the order.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 TYLER SMITH,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 EVA MING TING YIP,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FMS-23-387427

)  
) Hearing Date: April 2, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 404

)  
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 16 1) This matter is on for review hearing.  
17 2) Neither side filed updated declarations.  
18 3) The Court maintains the custody orders issued on August 22, 2023 and reminds the parties that Father  
19 has joint legal custody of both children.  
20 4) The Court finds Father's request for order adjudicated. Judicial intervention is no longer needed and  
21 takes the matter off calendar.  
22 5) The Court will prepare the order.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 DAPHNE GEOK LING YEOH,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 TAI-YEU HSIA,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-17-787615

)  
) Hearing Date: April 2, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 404

)  
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF CHILD CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 16 1) Both sides attended mediation and were unable to reach an agreement.
- 17 2) The Court orders the parties to communicate via the free Talking Parents App about matters related to  
18 their child only.
- 19 3) The Court denies any requests to make changes to the parties December 14, 2018 Marital Settlement  
20 Agreement (“MSA”). The parties are ordered to adhere the terms of their MSA, that was signed by  
21 both parties and has been in full force and effect since December 14, 2018.
- 22 4) Mother’s request for evidentiary hearing to determine school choice GRANTED. The hearing will be  
23 held on Wednesday July 3, 2024 at 1:45PM.
- 24 5) Mother’s counsel shall prepare the order.
- 25 6) **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within 10  
26 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel  
27 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the  
28 other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing  
29 directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court,  
Rule 5.125(d).

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 KATHERINE RAMIREZ REY,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 ALFREDO RAMIREZ ARAMBARRI,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-20-792905

)  
) Hearing Date: April 2, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 404

)  
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF RESPONDENTS REQUEST FOR ORDER TO CHANGE  
13 MINOR CHILDS SCHOOL AND CHANGE ORDER FOR EXTRA CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES  
14 CHANGE MINOR CHILD'S SCHOOL, CHANGE ORDER FOR EXTRA CURRICULARS

15 **TENTATIVE RULING**

16 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
17 Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 18 1) The parties have one child together, Marcelo, age 8.  
19 2) Both sides attended mediation and were unable to reach an agreement.  
20 3) Mother is requesting the child remain attending St. Paul's Elementary School and costs for the school  
21 continue to be shared between the parents.  
22 4) Father states his income has decreased, and he cannot afford private education costs and he finds St.  
23 Paul's education is not the best option for Marcelo.  
24 5) Father's child support was reduced on December 6, 2023 by Commissioner Wightman.  
25 6) Father unilaterally submitted Marcelo's application to SFUSD district without Mother's consent.  
26 7) Marcelo was accepted to Argonne Elementary School.  
27 8) Father is requesting for the Marcelo to attend a SFUSD public school, Argonne Elementary School.  
28 9) The Court sets an evidentiary hearing regarding school choice on Wednesday July 17, 2024 at  
29 1:45PM.

1 10) Mother's counsel shall prepare the order.

2 11) **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within 10  
3 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel  
4 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the  
5 other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing  
6 directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other  
7 party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court,  
8 Rule 5.125(d).

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29



1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 KEN ERICSON,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 ARLENE ERICSON,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-21-795007

)  
) Hearing Date: April 2, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 404

)  
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR BIFRUCATION

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 16 1) On for hearing is Petitioner's Request for Order filed 1/24/2024 asking the Court to bifurcate and  
17 terminate the parties' marital status.
- 18 2) There are two issues with Petitioner's Request for Order. First, Petitioner did not include the  
19 mandatory FL-315 judicial council form. Second, there is no Proof of Service on file and Respondent  
20 has not filed a Responsive Declaration.
- 21 3) The hearing on Petitioner's 1/24/2024 Request for Order is hereby continued to Thursday, 6/20/2024  
22 at 9:00 AM in Dept. 404.
- 23 4) By the deadlines set forth in the Code of Civil Procedure, Petitioner shall file and serve an FL-315  
24 form and shall have his Request for Order along with this order for continuance served on  
25 Respondent.
- 26 5) At least 10 calendar days in advance of the next hearing date, Petitioner shall file a Proof of Service  
27 evidencing compliance with this order.
- 28 6) Petitioner's attorney shall prepare the order.
- 29

1 7) **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within 10  
2 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel  
3 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the  
4 other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing  
5 directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other  
6 party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court,  
7 Rule 5.125(d).

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 KEVIN PLUIM,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 REGAN PLUIM,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-21-795283

)  
) Hearing Date: April 2, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 404

)  
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER RE MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER DISCOVERY RESPONSES;  
13 DISCOVERY SANCTIONS

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
16 Court makes the following findings and orders:

17 **A. Procedural History**

18 1) At the prior hearing on 6/8/2023, the Court granted Respondent's 3/27/2023 Request for Order  
19 (motion to compel further responses by Samantha Garden to Respondent's Request for  
20 Production of Documents, Set One) and ordered Samantha Garden to provide additional  
21 responses to Respondent's "Document Demands" by 7/10/2023. The Court also awarded  
22 Respondent \$41,768.50 in attorney's fees as discovery sanctions.

23 2) Now on for hearing is Respondent's 9/1/2023 Request for Order asking the Court to:

- 24 a. Compel Samantha Garden to provide additional verified, code-compliant responses and  
25 produce all documents responsive to Respondent's Request for Production of Documents  
26 Set One (served 3/31/2023). Specifically, Respondent contends that Samantha Garden  
27 has not provided verified amended response or a complete production to Requests for  
28 Production Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25,  
29 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, and 37.

- b. Compel Samantha Garden to provide additional verified, code-compliant responses to Respondent's Form Interrogatories, Set One (served 1/6/2023), Nos. 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13 and 20.
  - c. Compel Samantha Garden to produce all cell phones (and/or other devices) she used from January 1, 2017 through the date of production directly to a forensic analyst of Respondent's choosing to be forensically imaged to obtain all messages sent or received between January 1, 2017 through the date of production (with Samantha Garden bearing full responsibility for all costs associated with forensically imaging her cell phone(s) / device(s));
  - d. Order the parties to meet and confer to address third-party privacy concerns regarding the forensically gathered images and the Court to retain jurisdiction over any disputes related to the forensically gathered images;
  - e. Set a review hearing to address concerns related to the forensic images of Samantha Garden's cell phone(s) / device(s);
  - f. Order Samantha Garden or in the alternative Petitioner to pay \$31,974 for discovery sanctions under Code of Civil Procedure section 2023.010 et seq.
  - g. The Court to reserve jurisdiction over the issue of additional sanctions pursuant to the Code of Civil Procedure section 2023.010 et seq.
- 3) On 9/1/2023, Respondent filed a Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of her Request for Order.
  - 4) On 9/1/2023, Respondent filed a Separate Statement in support of her motion to compel.
  - 5) On 3/19/2024, Samantha Garden filed a Responsive Declaration asking the Court to deny all of Respondent's requests on the basis that the requests are moot given that Ms. Garden served Amended Verified Responses to Respondent's Form Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents on 9/1/2023 (and an additional Amended Verified Response on 10/26/2023). Ms. Garden also states that she was able to access her cloud storage and provided to Respondent images of all text messages available to her.
  - 6) On 3/19/2024, Ms. Garden filed a Response to Respondent's Separate Statement.

1 7) On 3/22/2024, Respondent filed a Supplemental Declaration asking the Court to (a) strike Ms.  
2 Garden's responsive pleadings and (b) grant all of her original requested orders.

3 8) On 3/22/2024, Respondent filed a declaration by her former counsel in support of her motion to  
4 compel.

5 **B. Findings and Orders**

6 1) Respondent's request to strike Ms. Garden's responsive pleadings filed 3/19/2024 is denied.

7 2) Given that (a) Ms. Garden served amended responses to Respondent's Request for Production of  
8 Documents, Set One and Form Interrogatories, Set One after Respondent filed the instant motion  
9 to compel, (b) Respondent's former counsel provided a meet and confer letter on 1/12/2024 that  
10 has not yet been responded to, and (c) Respondent has not filed an updated Separate Statement,  
11 the hearing on Respondent's 3/27/2023 Request for Order is hereby continued to Tuesday,  
12 6/25/2024 at 9:00 AM in Dept. 404.

13 3) Ms. Garden shall provide a substantive response to Respondent's 1/12/2024 meet and confer  
14 letter no later than 4/15/2024. The parties shall thereafter engage in additional meet and confer. If  
15 the parties are unable to reach a resolution, at least 20 calendar days prior to the next hearing date,  
16 Respondent shall file and serve an updated Separate Statement in support of any remaining claims  
17 she has with respect to the motion to compel as well as declaration providing an update regarding  
18 her attorney's fees sanction request. At least 10 calendar days prior to the next hearing date, Ms.  
19 Garden shall file and serve an updated Separate Statement as well as a declaration responding to  
20 any updated attorney's fees sanction request made by Respondent.

21 4) Ms. Garden's attorney shall prepare the order.

22 5) **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within  
23 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other  
24 party/counsel for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule  
25 5.125(c), or (b) If the other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the  
26 proposed order after hearing directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within  
27 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in  
28 accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 MELISSA MILLSAPS,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 PHILLIP W. YUN,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-23-797559

)  
) Hearing Date: April 2, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 404

)  
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER OF REQUEST FOR ORDER CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION  
13 (PARENTING TIME), SPOUSAL OR PARTNER SUPPORT, SALE OF THE FAMILY RESIDENCE,  
14 INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING AND/OR REUNIFICATION COUNSELING FOR THE MINOR  
15 CHILD

16 **TENTATIVE RULING**

17 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
18 Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 19 1) At the prior 1/25/2024 hearing, the Court and set a future hearing date of 4/2/2024 to adjudicate  
20 Mother's request, set forth in her 10/16/2023 Request for Order, for guideline child support and  
21 temporary guideline spousal support.
- 22 2) Mother's request to deviate from the child support guideline is denied.
- 23 3) Child and Spousal Support for the Period 10/16/2023 – 12/31/2023
- 24 a. In accordance with the attached Dissomaster A, Mother shall pay to Father \$1,027 per month  
25 in guideline child support and Father shall pay to Mother \$3,571 per month in temporary  
26 guideline spousal support, for a total due and owing of \$2,544 per month payable by Father to  
27 Mother.
- 28  
29

1 4) Child and Spousal Support Effective 1/1/2024

2 a. In accordance with the attached Dissomaster B, Mother shall pay to Father \$1,074 per month  
3 in guideline child support and Father shall pay to Mother \$2,605 per month in temporary  
4 guideline spousal support, for a net total due and owing of \$1,531 per month payable by  
5 Father to Mother. This amount shall be paid to Mother by the 5<sup>th</sup> of each month.

6 5) Support Arrears for the period 10/16/2023 – 3/31/2024

7 a. As it is unknown to the Court what amount Father paid Mother in voluntary support for the  
8 period 10/16/2023 – 3/31/2024, the Court is unable to calculate what support arrears or  
9 credits are due for this period. The Court's jurisdiction to calculate and make an order  
10 regarding support arrears or credits for the period 10/16/2023 – 3/31/2024 is reserved. The  
11 parties shall meet and confer on that issue.

12 6) Dissomaster Inputs

13 a. The Court used the Dissomaster inputs upon which the parties agree per their Statements of  
14 Support Calculation. For the inputs upon which the parties disagree, the Court makes the  
15 following findings.

16 b. Father was earning \$335,000 in gross annually in 2023, which averages out to \$27,917. This  
17 is the figure used for Father's income in Dissomaster A.

18 c. Based on the paystubs attached to Mother's 3/13/2024 Income and Expense Declaration,  
19 Mother is currently earning \$5,581 in gross per biweekly pay period, which averages out to  
20 \$12,092 per month. This is the figure used for mother's income in Dissomaster B.

21 d. It appears that Father maxes out his 401(k) contribution. At his age (64), he can contribute a  
22 maximum of \$30,500 to his 401(k) annually, which averages out to \$2,542 per month. This is  
23 the figure used for Father's 401(k) contributions in Dissomaster B.

24 7) Mother's attorney, who was ordered to prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing for the prior  
25 1/25/2024 hearing, shall submit that to the Court forthwith.

26 8) Mother's attorney shall prepare the order.

27 9) **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within 10  
28 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel  
29 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).



PREPARED BY (NAME AND ADDRESS):  <b>California</b>	TELEPHONE NO: <b>Dissomaster A (10/16/2023 - 12/31/2023)</b>	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
DISSOMASTER REPORT 2024, Monthly		CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Father	Mother	Guideline (2024)	Cash Flow Analysis	Father	Mother
Number of children	1	0	<b>Nets (adjusted)</b>	<b>Guideline</b>		
% time with Second Parent	0%	3%	Father	19,186	Payment (cost)/benefit	(2,211) 2,211
Filing status	HH/MLA	<-MFS	Mother	7,087	Net spendable income	16,643 9,630
# Federal exemptions	2*	1*	Total	26,273	% combined spendable	63.3% 36.7%
Wages + salary	27,917	11,825	<b>Support (Nondeductible)</b>	Total taxes	8,731	2,819
401(k) employee contrib	1,875	1,625	CS Payor	Mother	Comb. net spendable	26,273
Self-employment income	0	0	Presumed	(1,027)	<b>Proposed</b>	
Other taxable income	0	0	Basic CS	(1,027)	Payment (cost)/benefit	(2,078) 2,078
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Add-ons	0	Net spendable income	16,733 9,707
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Presumed Per Kid		NSI change from gdl	90 77
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Child 2	(1,027)	% combined spendable	63.3% 36.7%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	SS Payor	Father	% of saving over gdl	53.8% 46.2%
Tax. interest received	0	0	Santa Clara	3,571	Total taxes	8,784 2,599
Social Security received	0	0	Total	2,544	Comb. net spendable	26,440
Unemployment compensation	0	0	<b>Proposed, tactic 9</b>		Percent change	0.6 %
Operating losses	0	0	CS Payor	Mother	<b>Default Case Settings</b>	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0	Presumed	(1,058)		
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0	Basic CS	(1,058)		
Rental income	0	0	Add-ons	0		
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	0	Presumed Per Kid			
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Child 2	(1,058)		
New-spouse income	0	0	SS Payor	Father		
SS paid other marriage	0	0	Santa Clara	3,457		
CS paid other relationship	0	0	Total	2,399		
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0	Savings	167		
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0	Total releases to	1		
Health insurance	0	500	Mother			
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0				
Itemized deductions	2,488	0				
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	1,108	0				
Ded. interest expense	1,380	0				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	0				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	1,419				
Hardship deduction	0*	0*				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	0	0				
TANF, SSI and CS received	0	0				

PREPARED BY (NAME AND ADDRESS):  <b>California</b>	TELEPHONE NO: <b>Dissomaster B (effective 1.1.2024)</b>	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
<b>DISSOMASTER REPORT</b> 2024, Monthly		CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Father	Mother	Guideline (2024)	Cash Flow Analysis	Father	Mother	
Number of children	1	0	<b>Nets (adjusted)</b>	<b>Guideline</b>			
% time with Second Parent	0%	3%	Father	16,653	Payment (cost)/benefit	(1,289)	1,289
Filing status	HH/MLA	<-MFS	Mother	7,273	Net spendable income	15,122	8,804
# Federal exemptions	2*	1*	Total	23,926	% combined spendable	63.2%	36.8%
Wages + salary	22,916	12,092	<b>Support (Nondeductible)</b>		Total taxes	6,263	2,803
401(k) employee contrib	2,542	1,625	CS Payor	Mother	Comb. net spendable	23,926	
Self-employment income	0	0	Presumed	(1,074)	<b>Proposed</b>		
Other taxable income	0	0	Basic CS	(1,074)	Payment (cost)/benefit	(1,155)	1,155
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Add-ons	0	Net spendable income	15,212	8,881
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Presumed Per Kid		NSI change from gdl	90	77
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Child 2	(1,074)	% combined spendable	63.1%	36.9%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	SS Payor	Father	% of saving over gdl	53.8%	46.2%
Tax. interest received	0	0	Santa Clara	2,605	Total taxes	6,317	2,582
Social Security received	0	0	Total	1,531	Comb. net spendable	24,093	
Unemployment compensation	0	0	<b>Proposed, tactic 9</b>		Percent change	0.7 %	
Operating losses	0	0	CS Payor	Mother	<b>Default Case Settings</b>		
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0	Presumed	(1,105)			
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0	Basic CS	(1,105)			
Rental income	0	0	Add-ons	0			
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	0	Presumed Per Kid				
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Child 2	(1,105)			
New-spouse income	0	0	SS Payor	Father			
SS paid other marriage	0	0	Santa Clara	2,492			
CS paid other relationship	0	0	Total	1,387			
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0	Savings	167			
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0	Total releases to	1			
Health insurance	0	565	Mother				
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0					
Itemized deductions	2,488	0					
Other medical expenses	0	0					
Property tax expenses	1,108	0					
Ded. interest expense	1,380	0					
Charitable contribution	0	0					
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0					
State sales tax paid	0	0					
Required union dues	0	0					
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0					
Mandatory retirement	0	1,451					
Hardship deduction	0*	0*					
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0					
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0					
Child support add-ons	0	0					
TANF, SSI and CS received	0	0					